

From: [Craig, Bill](#)
To: [Evans, Jessica](#); [Bellion, Tara](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Donlin Gold Draft EIS comment
Date: Thursday, April 07, 2016 8:45:45 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: donlingoldeis, POA [<mailto:POA.donlingoldeis@usace.army.mil>]
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2016 6:40 AM
To: Craig, Bill
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Donlin Gold Draft EIS comment

-----Original Message-----

From: Timothy Zaukar [<mailto:tzaukar@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 1:45 PM
To: donlingoldeis, POA <POA.donlingoldeis@usace.army.mil>
Cc: azuakar@gmail.com; crookedcreektraditionalcouncil@gmail.com
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Donlin Gold Draft EIS comment

The Concern I have is If all the best technology in the World is used to capture 98% of the mercury what of the other 2% which would amount to hundreds even thousands of pounds a year in mercury if an estimated 12 to 42 tons will be processed each year and under current EPA guidelines Donlin Gold can possibly allow for hundreds to thousands of pounds of mercury to be emitted in the atmosphere and in the tailings. The tailings will also need to be cleaned of mercury which is not in there plan and off gassing during autoclaving and roasting will potentially account for enormous amounts of mercury to enter the atmosphere and in turn enter the ecosystem therefore enter the food chain which would cause people to be exposed to toxic methyl mercury. The mercury that is captured will also need to be accounted for and stored or removed from the area. Over site in any area could be potentially be devastating even if current guidelines are followed this kind of undertaking so colossal and endless that the effects that are perpetually incurred upon us are not foreseeable in all aspects of EPA guidelines.